

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/475,269	12/30/1999	AYMAN BEDAIR	03384-0364	6151
75	90 10/06/2004		EXAM	INER
Docket Clerk			HARPER, KEVIN C	
PO Drawer 800889 Dallas, TX 75380			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
241145, 111 75			2666	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/475,269	BEDAIR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin C. Harper	2666
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply boly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to e, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	lune 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 20-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 2 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-14 and 20-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 1999 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application of the contract o	eation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2004.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Specification

Page 2

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The related application information on page 1 of the specification should be updated to include appropriate serial numbers or patent numbers; on page 7, line 26, "FIG. 9" should be --FIG. 8-- (it is noted that there is no figure 9 in the application). Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant was requested to resubmit an IDS filed on March 17, 2000, however there appears 2. to be no IDS filed on that date.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1, item 100, Figure 5, item 500, Figure 7, one of items 800, 840 and 880, and Figure 9, item 900 require descriptive wording (37 CFR 1.83(a)). Corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. Applicant noted that drawings do not appear in error. However, the above drawing objections relate to unlabeled rectangular boxes. For clarity and ease of understanding, a rectangular box is labeled with descriptive wording, such as in the instant application, Figure 3, item 390 that has "Master CPU" as a label. These labels aid in understanding the drawings and the invention, particularly for the searching purposes. Without these labels, the need to search the specification of the resulting patent to find reference numbers of the drawings would be undesirable, especially at times when only a cursory review of the patent is needed to determine a degree of relevance. Accordingly,

Figure 1, item 100 being labeled "communication system" or Figure 5, item 500 being labeled as "mux/demux" would enable a reader of the resulting patent to understand the nature of the drawings and the disclosure without having to search for the description of the reference numbers in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daniel et al. (US 5,726,985).

Regarding claim 1 and 20, Daniel discloses a method of dynamically adapting a PBX 4. network (fig. 1) to maintain a quality of service level in the network (col. 3, lines 26-41 and col. 8, lines 16-27). The method comprises the steps of identifying and measuring a parameter associated with a data packet transported across the network (col. 33, lines 51-54), and enabling optimization of the network bandwidth when the measured parameter is different from a predetermined value (note: congestion or removal of congestion in the network to adjust an ABR connection).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,269

Art Unit: 2666

Claims 3, 6-8, 21 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel, as applied to claim 1 or 20 above, in further view of Chang et al. (US 2003/0091028).

5. Regarding claims 3, 6-8, 21 and 24-26, Daniel does not disclose determining QoS or congestion based on a packet sequence. Chang disclose that a QoS of voice information using Internet packets is determined by packets arriving out of order (page 12, Table 1, VoIP QoS Parameters). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to determine a QoS value related to packets arriving out-of-order in the invention of Daniel in order to choose a better path for voice information due out-of-order packets causing reduced voice quality.

Claims 4-5 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel, as applied to claim 1 or 20 above, in further view of Campbell et al. (US 2003/0140159).

6. Regarding claims 4-5 and 22-23, Daniel does not disclose determining congestion due to packet arrival times. Campbell discloses detecting congestion based on packet inter-arrival times (para. 136 and 139). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to detect congestion based on arrival times of subsequent packets in the invention of Daniel in order to passively detect network defects at a destination.

Claims 9-14 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daniel in view of Chang, as applied to claim 8 or 26 above, in further view of Geagan, III et al. (US 6,363,371).

7. Regarding claims 9-10 and 27-28, Daniel in view of Chang does not disclose incrementing a packet counter as claimed. Geagan discloses incrementing a counter by one to keep track of the sequence of incoming packets and incrementing a counter by more than one if a packet is lost (abstract; Figure 3; Figure 6, steps 78 and 84-90). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Application/Control Number: 09/475,269

Art Unit: 2666

skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to keep track of a sequence of packets using a counter in the invention of Daniel in view of Chang in order to properly convey the real-time information within received packets (Geagan, col. 2, lines 38-42).

8. Regarding claims 11-14 and 29-32, in Daniel the optimization is static and adaptive (col. 15, lines 51-55; note: the bandwidth for ABR connections is reduced or stopped during congestion).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claim 2 is allowed.
- 10. Claims 15 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:30 AM to 8:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only (applications must be associated with a

Art Unit: 2666

customer number). For more information about the PAIR system, see pair.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

October 1, 2004